FORM NO-4

(SEE RULE 11 (1)

IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, KOLKATA

ORDER SHEET

APPLICATION No: O A 56 of 2012

APPLICANT (S)

RESPONDENT (S)

Legal Practitioner of applicant

Mr. S.C.Hazra

None

Tanmoy Ghosh

Union of India & 3 Ors

Legal Practitioner for Respondent (s)

WI. S.C. Hazia None		
NOTES OF THE REGISTRY	ORDERS OF THE TRIBUNAL	
	<u>Order SI. No. : </u> [[Dated : 10.05.2013
Mr. Subhash Chandra Hazra, Id. adv. is pres		Id. adv. is present for the
	applicant. Mr. Tapas Kumar Hazra, ld. adv. on record for the	
	respondents is not present. Lt. Col. Anil Chandra, OIC, Legal Cell,	
	HQ, Bengal Area submits on behalf of Mr. Tapas Kr. Hazra that	
	on account of his personal hea	lth ground he could not be
	present. We find that the matter can be disposed of at this stage	
	on the basis of record and we proceed to do so.	
	Briefly the matter relates to the applicant, who was enrolled	
	in the army on 22.10.2010 and was discharged on 10.2.2012	
	being medically invalidated out of service after only one year,	
	thee months and nineteen days of service. However, as per	
	medical board proceedings, the disability of the applicant was	

of the applicant on 1.2.2013. To this effect, they have produced a copy of the PPO which was perused by us. Mr. Subhash

considered as aggravated by the stress and strain of military service and the percentage of disability was assessed at 30% for life. On that account, the authorities, after the matte was filed in this Tribunal, in consonance with our previous orders, have released disability pension along with service element in favour Chandra Hazra, ld. adv. for the applicant has also admitted that his client is in receipt of disability pension and he has no further grievance in this matter and he is fully satisfied with the action taken by the respondents.

We, however, find that the applicant in his prayer portion of the OA, has prayed for his reinstatement in service although he was invalidated out of service under Army Rule 13(III(iv) i.e. "unlikely to become an efficient soldier", while he was a recruit in training centre. We find that there is no case made out by the applicant to be reinstated in service, and therefore, this prayer has no merit which is accordingly rejected.

However, it is submitted by the ld. adv. for the applicant during the course of arguments, that in case the applicant could not be reinstated, he should at least be paid his due disability pension and we find that this aspect has already been taken care of by the respondents by granting him disability pension as admissible under the rules.

In view of the above, nothing survives in this OA to be adjudicated upon and the same is accordingly disposed of with the observation made above. There will be no order as to costs.

The original records be returned to the respondents on proper receipt.

Let a plain copy of the order duly countersigned by the Tribunal Officer be furnished to both sides.

(LT. GEN K.P.D.SAMANTA) MEMBER(A) (JUSTICE RAGHUNATH RAY) MEMBER(J)