FORM NO - 4 (SEE RULE 11 (1)) IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, KOLKATA **ORDER SHEET**

APPLICATION No. T.A.No.45/2012

APPLICANT (S)

Suraj Kant Prasad Singh

RESPONDENT (S)

Union of India & 4 Others

Legal Practitioner for Applicant (s)

Legal practitioner for Respondents

Mr. Anun Kumar Biswas

Miss Manika Roy	Mr. Anup Kumar Biswas
	ORDERS OF THE TRIBUNAL
	Order Serial Number: 2 Dated: 07.05.2013
	Miss Manika Roy, learned counsel appears for the applicant and
	Mr. Anup Kumar Biswas, learned counsel appears for the respondents.
	Mr. Biswas has filed Affidavit-in-Opposition on this application
	on 13.02.2013 raising preliminary objection with regard to the
	maintainability of this application Mr. Biswas has drawn our attention to
	Section 3(o)(iii) of the Armed Forces Tribunal (AFT) Act, 2007
	according to which it is beyond the jurisdiction of this Tribunal to
	adjudicate all matters relating to summary punishment that has been
	awarded which does not amount to dismissal. He has very clearly raised
	this issue to the effect that in the present case the applicant had been
	awarded 'severe reprimand' and was retained in service; therefore, such
	summary punishment would be beyond the purview of the AFT Act in
	terms of its jurisdiction to adjudicate.
	Miss Roy, however, argued on the matter by raising the issue that
	the application could be considered on compassionate ground because
	the applicant has missed his promotion on account of such punishment
	and, therefore, he could be treated under Section 3(o)(iv) where 'any
	other matter, whatsoever' can be considered by this Tribunal. She very
	clearly submits that the grievance of the applicant is actually for
	promotion which he has missed because of said award of summary
	punishment. Further, the impact of punishment has indeed affected the

applicant's career and promotion adversely thus giving rise to the

grievance. On this account, she is of the view that in case this

application is not admitted on maintainability issue as raised by Mr. Biswas, then at least this application can be heard as a fresh "service matter" issue relating to the promotion of the applicant. In this respect, she submits that she is prepared to withdraw this application with liberty sought for to file a fresh original application (OA) bringing forth the issue of promotion that was denied to the applicant within the definition of 'service matters'.

We have heard both sides. As prayed for by the learned counsel for the applicant, the application is dismissed as withdrawn. Liberty is granted to the applicant to file fresh OA on any other appropriate issue, if so advised within six weeks from this date. The period of pendency of this application right from the time when it was filed before the Hon'ble Jharkhand High Court till date is condoned.

A plain copy of the order, countersigned by the Tribunal Officer, be given to the parties upon observance of all usual formalities.

(Lt Gen K.P.D. Samanta) Member (Administrative) (Justice Raghunath Ray) Member (Judicial)