## <u>FORM NO – 4</u>

## (SEE RULE 11 (1)

## IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, KOLKATA

## **ORDER SHEET**

APPLICATION No: TA71/2011

APPLICANT (S)

Rajendra Prasad Singh

RESPONDENT (S)

Union of India & 4 Ors

Legal Practitioner of applicant

Legal Practitioner for Respondent (s)

Mr. Arvind Kumar

Mr. Souvik Nandy

NOTES OF THE REGISTRY	ORDERS OF THE TRIBUNAL	
	Order Sl. No. : ] i	Dated: 08.11. 2012
•	Mr. Arvind Kumar, Id. adv. for the applicant and Mr. Souvik	
	Nandy, Id. adv. for the responden	ts are present. It is brought to
	our notice by the ld. adv. for the a	pplicant that the applicant was
	discharged from Army after 10	years of service being in low
	medical category with an award o	f 20% disability pension, which
	he is receiving along with entitle	d service element of pension.
	Through this application, he has	submitted that in consonance
	with recommendation of the 5 <sup>th</sup> I	Pay Commission, Govt. of India
	has issued order to group disabilit	ry pensions in three groups i.e.
	50-75-100% for those who left	Army before completing their
	pensionable service. The applicar	nt claims that such Govt. order
	should also be made applicable to	o him and his disability pension
	be treated as 50% bracket inste	ad of 20% from 1.1.96 with all
	arrears.	
	Mr. Souvik Nandy, ld. adv. for	the respondents, before going
	into the merit of the case, submit	ted that he has instruction that
	Govt. will soon be taking a decision	on with regard to applicability of
	the principle of rounding off of di	sability pension, as a policy, for
	those, who were not invalidat	

explained that as of today, instructions are to apply this policy of 'rounding off' only to those pensioners, who were invalidated out of army on account of aggravated/attributable disability. He, therefore, seeks an adjournment for 8 weeks.

We have heard both parties and we are of the view that it will be prudent to wait for the Govt. to take a decision either way or to await the decision from the Hon'ble apex Court where this particular issue ipresently rests. Mr. Arbind Kumar has no objection to adjourn the matter for further period. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned to 13.2.13 for hearing. In case positive policy instructions are received to the advantage of the applicant in the meantime, then the respondents may take appropriate decision in respect of the present applicant as well.

(LT. GEN K.P.D.SAMANTA) MEMBER(A) (JUSTICE RAGHUNATH RAY) MEMBER(J)