FORM NO - 4

(SEE RULE 11 (1)

IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, KOLKATA

ORDER SHEET

APPLICATION No: O A 35/2012

APPLICANT (S)

Smt. Sabejan Khatooon & Anr

RESPONDENT (S)

Union of India & 6 Ors

Legal Practitioner of applicant

Legal Practitioner for Respondent (s)

None

Mr. B.K.Das

NOTES OF THE REGISTRY	ORDERS OF THE	TRIBUNAL
	Order Sl. No. : \ \ \frac{E}{\cdots}	<u>Dated</u> : 18.08.2014
	None appears for the applicant. The applicant is also not present in person. Mr. B.K.Das, ld. counsel for the respondents is, however, present.	
	One Mr. Nil Ratan Mondal, sta	ated to be registered clerk of
	Mr. Arif Ali, Id. counsel on record t	for the applicant submits that
	he has been asked by Mr. Arif Ali t	o pray for an adjournment on
	the ground of his illness.	
	Mr. B.K.Das, submits that Mr.	Arif Ali was regularly irregular
	in his appearance before this Tribu	unal and he, in fact, appeared
	before this Court only on 9.5.2013.	Thereafter on all subsequent
	occasions the ld. adv. for the app	licant did not appear and on
	most of the occasions even without	any intimation.
	In view of such long absence	e of Mr. Arif Ali, we made it
	clear vide our order dt. 7.3.14 that	on the next date of hearing,
	in the event of absence of the a	pplicant or her counsel, the
	matter would be dismissed for def	ault under the relevant rules
	of AFT (Procedure) Rules. Despite	such clear cut order neither
	the ld. counsel nor the applicant he	erself is present. Mr. Nil Ratan
	Mondal, the clerk of Mr. Arif Ali , however, could not explain as	

to why on earlier occasions i.e. on 18.6.14, 7.3.14, 3.12.13, 9.9.13 and 12.7.13, the ld. counsel failed to appear before this Court on behalf of the applicant. Under such circumstances, it appears to us that Smt. Sabejan Khatoon, wife of deceased soldier, is perhaps, not interested to proceed with the matter. In such situation, we are of the considered view that no fruitful purpose would be served in lingering the matter any more, especially when we had made it clear on earlier occasion that we would take the absence of the applicant herself or her counsel very seriously.

Such being the position, we have no other alternative but to dismiss this OA for default in appearance. Hence, it is ordered that the OA be dismissed for default in appearance under rule 16 of AFT (Procedure) Rules, 2008. No costs.

Let a plain copy of the order duly countersigned by the Tribunal Officer be furnished to both sides on observance of due formalities.

(LT. GEN K.P.D.SAMANTA) MEMBER(A) (JUSTICE RAGHUNATH RAY) MEMBER(J)