<u>FORM NO.4</u> (SEE RULE 11 (1)) IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, KOLKATA

ORDER SHEET

<u>APPLICATION No. R.A. No. 1/2014</u> {Arising out of TA No. 8/2011 -WP(S) No. 5362/2008}

APPLICANT (S)

Bijay Shankar Kumar

RESPONDENT (S)

Union of India & 2 Others

Legal Practitioner for Applicant (s)

Mr. Aniruddha Datta

Mr. S K Bhattacharyya

Legal practitioner for Respondents

ORDERS OF THE TRIBUNAL	
Order Serial Number: 2	Dated :20.03.2014
Mr. Aniruddha Datta. learned counsel appears for the applicant	
and Mr. S K Bhattacharyya. learned cou	unsel appears for the
respondents.	
On the earlier occasion when this matte	r appeared in the list on
17.02.2014, Mr. Bhattacharyya, learned coun	sel for the respondents
submitted that this RA (No. 1/2014) was not	t maintainable. To that
effect. he drew our attention to Section 14(4)((f) of the Armed Forces
Tribunal Act, 2007 (AFT Act. 2007). as per	which, while power of
review has been vested with this Tribunal un	nder Section 114 of the
Code of Civil Procedure (CPC). 1908. it wou	ld be applicable when a
case is decided under Section 14 of the AFT	Act. 2007. There is no
provision of review in criminal matters veste	
including the appellate court under the Code	e of Criminal Procedure
(Cr.PC). According to Mr. Bhattacharyya. this	
Section 15 of the AFT Act as an appeal.	
application filed against an order passed unde	
Act would not be maintainable.	

Mr. Datta, learned counsel for the applicant had prayed for some time to rebut the above points raised by Mr. Bhattacharyya. Mr. Datta, learned counsel, entering appearance on behalf of the applicant this day, admits that there is no provision for review of a decision passed under Section 15 of the AFT Act. Therefore, he prays that this RA be converted into an MA and clarifications sought for therein be provided to him so that the order passed on 13.12.2013 in TA No. 8/2011 {WP(S) No. 5362/2008} can appropriately be implemented.

At this point, Mr. Bhattacharyya submits that after pronouncement of the final order on 13.12.2013 in TA No. 8 of 2011, this court becomes functus officio in the matter. Therefore, it would not be appropriate to entertain any MA on a matter which does not lie in this court any more. More so, when there is a specific provisions under Section 29 of the AFT Act for execution of the order of this Tribunal, question of filing any MA also does not arise.

We have heard the learned counsel from both sides. We are of the view that this RA (No. 1/2014) is not maintainable for the reasons as cited by the learned counsel for the respondents. Let the RA (No. 1/2014) be, therefore, dismissed as not maintainable.

A plain copy of the order, duly countersigned by the Tribunal Officer, be given to the parties upon observance of all usual formalities.

(Lt Gen K.P.D. Samanta) Member (Administrative) (Justice Raghunath Ray) Member (Judicial)