### FORM NO-4

### (SEE RULE 11 (1)

# IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, KOLKATA

### ORDER SHEET

## APPLICATION No: C A 7/2013 (OA 65/2012)

APPLICANT (S)

RESPONDENT (S)

Ex Sub Basant Kumar Dash

Shri R.K.Mathur & Anr

Legal Practitioner of applicant

Mr. Bisikesan Pradhan

Legal Practitioner for Respondent (s)

Mr. D.K.Mukherjee/Mr. Mintu Kr. Goswami

NOTES OF THE REGISTRY	ORDERS OF THE TRIBUNAL
	Order SL No. 57
	Dated : 24.01.2014
	Mr. Bisikesan Pradhan, Id. adv. appearing on behalf of the
	applicant, submits that he has just now received a copy of the
	sanction order granting disability pension to the applicant @
	40% for life w.e.f. 1 <sup>st</sup> March 2003 with condition that the Govt
	sanction will be subject to the outcome of the appeal filed
	before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, if any, against the order dt.
	25.2.13 passed by this Tribunal in the connected OA 65/2012.
	Mr. D.K.Mukherjee, Id. adv. for the alleged contemnors has
	also filed a copy of such sanction order dt. 2.1.14. He has also
	pointed out that the arrear amount will also carry 12% interest.
	Therefore, according to Mr. Mukherjee, the order of this
	Tribunal has been fully complied with and he will file a
	compliance report by the next date.
	At this stage, Mr. Mintu Kumar Goswami, Id. adv. for
	respondent No. 7 (AGIF) of the OA intervenes and submits that
	although AGI is not arrayed as a contemnor in this contempt
	petition, in view of direction passed by this Tribunal dt.
	20.11.13 in this contempt petition, he has been instructed by the
	AGI authorities to submit that earlier speaking order dt. 5.4.13

issued by the said authorities suffered from certain formal defect and the same has since been corrected, a fresh speaking order has been issued on 3.1.14, a copy of which has also been served on the ld. adv. for the applicant. Mr. Goswami also submits that he has impressed upon the AGI authorities to comply with the order of the Tribunal and on his advice, the concerned official i.e. Achal Kr., Jt. Director, has also tendered his unqualified apology for the mistake committed inadvertently.

As an officer of the Tribunal, the endeavour of Mr. Goswami is appreciated and the apology tendered by the concerned official is also accepted. Let the revised speaking order be kept with the record.

Since Hon'ble Member(A) is on leave, Division Bench could not sit. Accordingly, let the matter be fixed for further orders on 24.3.14. The respondents/alleged contemnors 1 and 2 shall file a report of compliance in the form of an affidavit in the meantime after serving a copy on the ld. adv. for the applicant.

Mr. Mukherjee has filed vokalatnama on behalf of alleged contemnor No. 1 i.e. Shri R.K.Mathur, which may be kept with the record. He also undertakes to file vokalatnama on behalf of contemnor No.2 by the next date.

To 24.3.14 for further orders.

Let a plain copy of the order duly countersigned by the Tribunal Officer be furnished to both sides after observance of due formalities.

> (JUSTICE RAGHUNATH RAY) MEMBER(J)